

## **REMARKS**

### **Remarks No 2:**


In this response Applicant has clearly demonstrated the claims 1-47 were properly allowed by Examiner Paradiso and should again be allowed whether or not amended, and that new claims 48-56 should also be allowed. Please review the information of the Nov. 1, 2004 Information Disclosure Statement wherein the Examiner will conclude as Applicant does that the prior art of record could not alone or in combination anticipate or make obvious the invention as claimed, for at least the reasons provided herein and in previous writings by Applicant regarding this Application.

Withdrawal of the rejection grounds stated in the 05/03/2004 Office Action is respectfully requested and would be proper.

This is a bona fide attempt to send this Application back to Issuance, and if Applicant accidentally left out a prior art or like reference copy or failed to pay all necessary fees, Applicant apologizes for any trouble this may cause the Examiner and will promptly correct any deficiency upon notification.

Please do not hesitate in requesting anything from Applicant which might be of Assistance to the Examiner.

Very Respectfully,



Date: 3-1-05

Brad A. Armstrong, Inventor / Applicant

Phone: 775 450 4172